

**WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES.1 INTRODUCTION	ES-1
ES.2 PROJECT LOCATION AND SETTING	ES-1
ES.3 PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	ES-2
ES.3.1 Introduction and Purpose	ES-2
ES.3.1.1 2007 WSIP	ES-2
ES.3.1.2 2010 Water Model.....	ES-2
ES.3.1.3 Changes to the WSIP Resulting from EIR Scoping	ES-3
ES.4 PROJECT OBJECTIVES	ES-4
ES.5 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT.....	ES-5
ES.5.1 Project Timing	ES-5
ES.5.2 Improvements to Existing Wells	ES-6
ES.5.3 Well 35 Construction and Operation.....	ES-6
ES.5.3.1 Construction.....	ES-6
ES.5.3.2 Well Development	ES-7
ES.5.3.3 Disinfection and/or Treatment Facilities	ES-7
ES.5.3.4 Discharge Pond	ES-7
ES.5.3.5 Operation	ES-7
ES.5.4 Water Conservation Efforts	ES-7
ES.6 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES.....	ES-8
ES.6.1 Impacts Considered Less Than Significant	ES-9
ES.6.2 Potentially Significant Adverse Impacts that Can Be Mitigated.....	ES-9
ES.6.3 Unavoidable Significant Effects.....	ES-10
ES.7 AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	ES-10
ES.8 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	ES-11
ES.9 ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED BY THE LEAD AGENCY.....	ES-12
ES.10 SUMMARY OF IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES	ES-12
SECTION 1.0 - INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 PURPOSE AND USE OF THE EIR.....	1-1
1.2 SUMMARY OF SCOPING/AREAS OF CONTROVERSY	1-2
1.3 EIR ORGANIZATION	1-17
1.4 SCOPE OF THE EIR.....	1-17
1.5 AVAILABILITY OF THE EIR/PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS.....	1-18

**WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

SECTION 2.0 - DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT.....	2-1
2.1 INTRODUCTION	2-1
2.1.1 IWWWD Background.....	2-1
2.2 PROJECT BACKGROUND.....	2-3
2.2.1 Introduction and Purposed	2-3
2.2.2 2007 WSIP	2-3
2.2.3 2010 Water Model.....	2-3
2.2.4 Changes to the WSIP Resulting from EIR Scoping.....	2-4
2.3 PROJECT OBJECTIVES	2-5
2.4 PROJECT LOCATION	2-6
2.5 PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	2-11
2.5.1 Project Timing	2-11
2.5.2 Improvements to Existing Wells	2-12
2.5.3 Well 35 Construction and Operation.....	2-12
2.5.3.1 Construction.....	2-12
2.5.3.2 Well Development	2-13
2.5.3.3 Disinfection and/or Treatment Facilities	2-13
2.5.3.4 Discharge Pond	2-13
2.5.3.5 Operation	2-13
2.5.4 Water Conservation Efforts	2-13
SECTION 3.0 - ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....	3.1-1
3.1 INTRODUCTION	3.1-1
3.2 AIR QUALITY	3.2-1
3.2.1 Environmental Setting	3.2-1
3.2.1.1 Regulatory Requirements	3.2-1
3.2.1.2 Regional Climate	3.2-5
3.2.1.3 Existing Air Quality	3.2-5
3.2.1.4 Toxic Air Contaminants	3.2-6
3.2.2 Thresholds of Significance	3.2-7
3.2.3 Environmental Impacts.....	3.2-8
3.2.3.1 Exceed Emissions Thresholds or Cause a Violation of an Air Quality Standard.....	3.2-8

**WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

3.2.3.2	Exposure of Sensitive Receptors to Substantial Pollutant Concentrations	3.2-10
3.2.3.3	Consistency with Air Quality Management Plan.....	3.2-11
3.2.3.4	Conclusions.....	3.2-11
3.2.4	Mitigation Measures	3.2-11
3.2.5	Residual Impacts After Mitigation	3.2-11
3.3	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES	3.3-1
3.3.1	Environmental Setting	3.3-1
3.3.1.1	Applicable Plans, Policies and Regulations.....	3.3-1
3.3.1.2	Existing Conditions	3.3-2
3.3.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.3-6
3.3.3	Environmental Impacts.....	3.3-7
3.3.3.1	Sensitive Plant Species.....	3.3-7
3.3.3.2	Sensitive Wildlife Species	3.3-7
3.3.3.3	Sensitive Habitats	3.3-8
3.3.4	Mitigation Measures	3.3-8
3.3.4.1	Sensitive Plant Species.....	3.3-8
3.3.4.2	Sensitive Wildlife Species	3.3-8
3.3.4.3	Sensitive Habitats	3.3-11
3.3.5	Residual Impacts After Mitigation	3.3-11
3.4	CULTURAL RESOURCES	3.4-1
3.4.1	Environmental Setting	3.4-1
3.4.1.1	Cultural Resources.....	3.4-1
3.4.1.2	Paleontological Resources	3.4-5
3.4.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.4-6
3.4.2.1	Cultural Resources.....	3.4-6
3.4.2.2	Paleontological Resources	3.4-7
3.4.3	Environmental Impacts.....	3.4-8
3.4.3.1	Cultural Resources.....	3.4-8
3.4.3.2	Paleontological Resources	3.4-8
3.4.4	Mitigation Measures	3.4-9
3.4.4.1	Cultural Resources.....	3.4-9
3.4.4.2	Paleontological Resources	3.4-9

**WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

3.4.5	Residual Impacts After Mitigation	3.4-10
3.4.5.1	Cultural Resources.....	3.4-10
3.4.5.2	Paleontological Resources	3.4-10
3.5	GEOLOGY AND SOILS.....	3.5-1
3.5.1	Environmental Setting	3.5-1
3.5.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.5-6
3.5.3	Environmental Impacts.....	3.5-6
3.5.3.1	Criteria Determined to Have No Impact or a Less than Significant Impact	3.5-6
3.5.3.2	Criteria Determined to Have a Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated.....	3.5-11
3.5.4	Mitigation Measures	3.5-11
3.5.5	Residual Impacts After Mitigation	3.5-12
3.6	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS	3.6-1
3.6.1	Environmental Setting	3.6-1
3.6.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.6-1
3.6.3	Environmental Impacts.....	3.6-3
3.6.4	Mitigation Measures	3.6-4
3.6.5	Residual Impacts After Mitigation	3.6-4
3.7	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	3.7-1
3.7.1	Environmental Setting	3.7-1
3.7.1.1	Regulatory Setting.....	3.7-1
3.7.1.2	Local Plans.....	3.7-1
3.7.1.3	Potential Chemical Hazards	3.7-2
3.7.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.7-2
3.7.3	Environmental Impacts.....	3.7-3
3.7.4	Mitigation Measures	3.7-4
3.7.5	Residual Impacts After Mitigation	3.7-4
3.8	HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY	3.8-1
3.8.1	Environmental Setting	3.8-1
3.8.1.1	Hydrology	3.8-1
3.8.1.2	Groundwater Pumping	3.8-2
3.8.1.3	Groundwater Levels.....	3.8-2
3.8.1.4	Groundwater Quality.....	3.8-12

**WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

3.8.1.5	Recharge	3.8-22
3.8.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.8-23
3.8.3	Environmental Impacts.....	3.8-24
3.8.3.1	Criteria Determined to Have No Impact	3.8-24
3.8.3.2	Criteria Determined to Have a Less Than Significant Impact	3.8-24
3.8.3.3	Criteria Determined to Have a Potentially Significant Impact	3.8-25
3.8.4	Mitigation Measures	3.8-30
3.8.5	Residual Impacts After Mitigation	3.8-32
3.9	NOISE	3.9-1
3.9.1	Environmental Setting	3.9-1
3.9.1.1	Noise Descriptors.....	3.9-1
3.9.1.2	Vibration Descriptors.....	3.9-2
3.9.1.3	Regulatory Environment.....	3.9-4
3.9.1.4	Existing Noise Environment	3.9-7
3.9.2	Thresholds of Significance	3.9-12
3.9.3	Environmental Impacts.....	3.9-13
3.9.3.1	Construction Noise.....	3.9-13
3.9.3.2	Vibration Conditions.....	3.9-14
3.9.3.3	Operational Noise	3.9-15
3.9.4	Mitigation Measures	3.9-15
3.9.5	Residual Impacts After Mitigation	3.9-15
SECTION 4.0 – ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.....		4-1
4.1	INTRODUCTION	4-1
4.1.1	Summary of Significant Impacts that Cannot be Mitigated	4-2
4.2	ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPMENT	4-2
4.2.1	Pumping Alternatives	4-2
4.2.2	Water Conservation Efforts Common to All Alternatives	4-4
4.3	ALTERNATIVES CARRIED FORWARD FOR ANALYSIS	4-5
4.3.1	Alternative 1 – Scenario 4: Improve Wells 30 and 34/Construct Well 35	4-6
4.3.1.1	Description.....	4-6
4.3.1.2	Impacts	4-7
4.3.2	Alternative 2 – Scenario 5: Improve Wells 30 and 31/Construct Well 35	4-9

**WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

4.3.2.1	Description.....	4-9
4.3.2.2	Impacts	4-10
4.3.3	Alternative 3 – Additional Water Production from Existing NAWS China Lake Wells.....	4-13
4.3.3.1	Description.....	4-13
4.3.3.2	Impacts	4-14
4.3.4	Alternative 4 – Phase 1 Only	4-17
4.3.4.1	Description.....	4-18
4.3.4.2	Impacts	4-18
4.3.5	No Project Alternative.....	4-18
4.3.5.1	Description.....	4-18
4.3.5.2	Impacts	4-19
4.4	COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES	4-20
4.5	ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPERIOR ALTERNATIVES.....	4-20
4.6	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED BUT REJECTED.....	4-21
4.6.1	Construction of New Wells on NAWS China Lake.....	4-21
4.6.2	Additional Water Conservation Alternative.....	4-21
4.6.3	Developing Supplemental Water Supply Alternative.....	4-22
4.6.3.1	Inside the Indian Wells Valley	4-22
4.6.3.2	Outside of the Indian Wells Valley	4-23
SECTION 5.0 – OTHER CEQA CONSIDERATIONS		5-1
5.1	CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	5-1
5.1.1	Cumulative Impacts Analysis	5-3
5.1.1.1	Air Quality.....	5-3
5.1.1.2	Biological Resources.....	5-3
5.1.1.3	Cultural and Paleontologic Resources.....	5-3
5.1.1.4	Geology and Soils	5-4
5.1.1.5	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	5-4
5.1.1.6	Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	5-5
5.1.1.7	Hydrology and Water Quality	5-5
5.1.1.8	Noise.....	5-7
5.2	GROWTH INDUCING IMPACTS	5-7

**WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

5.2.1	Regulatory Context	5-7
5.2.2.1	General Plan Requirements	5-7
5.2.1.2	Urban Water Management Planning Act	5-8
5.2.1.3	Senate Bills 610 and 221	5-8
5.2.2	Analysis of Growth-Inducing Impacts	5-8
5.3	SIGNIFICANT IRREVERSIBLE EFFECTS	5-10
5.4	UNAVOIDABLE SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE EFFECTS	5-10
SECTION 6.0 - AGENCIES AND PERSONS CONSULTED		6-1
SECTION 7.0 - REFERENCES.....		7-1
SECTION 8.0 - LIST OF PREPARERS.....		8-1
SECTION 9.0 - ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS		9-1

LIST OF APPENDICES

A	Notice of Preparation, Initial Study, and Scoping Letters
B	Air Quality Technical Report
C	Biological Resources Technical Report
D	Cultural Resources Survey Report
E	Paleontological Records Search Results
F	Kern County Water Agency Water Level Data
G	Layne Hydro Technical Memorandum 2011
H	Noise Study

**WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

LIST OF FIGURES

2-1	Regional Map	2-7
2-2	Facilities Location Map	2-8
2-3	Proposed Well 35.....	2-9
2-4	Proposed Well 35 APN Map.....	2-10
3.2-1	Wind Rose, NAWS China Lake.....	3.2-4
3.5-1	Surface Geology of the Indian Wells Valley and Surrounding Areas	3.5-3
3.5-2	Conceptualization of Depositional Environments in the Indian Wells Valley	3.5-5
3.5-3	Ridgecrest North Fault Map	3.5-7
3.5-4	Ridgecrest South Fault Map	3.5-9
3.8-1	Locations of Known Wells Compiled by U.S. Bureau of Reclamation	3.8-3
3.8-2	Groundwater Production from 1979-2009	3.8-5
3.8-3	Area of KCWA Data Request	3.8-7
3.8-4	Hydrograph for Well 25S/38E-35B01	3.8-9
3.8-5	Hydrograph for Well 27S/39E-07R01	3.8-10
3.8-6	Hydrograph for Well 27S/40E-15D01	3.8-11
3.8-7	Hydrograph Well Locations and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Deep Well Locations	3.8-13
3.8-8	Average Annual Water Level Decline (ft/yr).....	3.8-15
3.8-9	Approximate Groundwater Contours.....	3.8-17
3.8-10	TDS Concentration Distribution	3.8-19
3.8-11	Projected Rate of Water Level Decline for Well 27S/39E-07R01	3.8-29
3.9-1	Common Noise Sources and A-Weighted Noise Levels.....	3.9-3
3.9-2	Common CNEL and L _{dn} Exposure Levels at Various Locations	3.9-3
3.9-3	Ambient Noise Measurement Position	3.9-9
3.9-4	2011 AICUZ Noise Environment for NAWS China Lake	3.9-10
3.9-5	Noise Contours for Inyokern Airport	3.9-11

LIST OF TABLES

ES-1	IWVWD Domestic Water System Nominal Capacity of Well Pumping Plants Compared to Maximum Day Demand (plus 20% Safety Factor), With Proposed Project	ES-5
ES-2	Comparison of Alternatives with Proposed Project	ES-12
ES-3	Impact and Mitigation Summary Table	ES-13
1-1	Anticipated Agency Approvals and Reviews	1-1

**WATER SUPPLY IMPROVEMENT PROJECT
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT**

1-2	List of Scoping Letters.....	1-2
1-3	Summary of Issues Identified During Scoping Period	1-5
2-1	Estimated Population IWWWD Service Area	2-1
2-2	Indian Wells Valley Groundwater Production Estimated 1979-2010 (acre-feet)	2-2
2-3	IWWWD Domestic Water System Nominal Capacity of Well Pumping Plants Compared To Maximum Day Demand (plus 20% Safety Factor), Without Proposed Project	2-5
2-4	IWWWD Domestic Water System Nominal Capacity of Well Pumping Plants Compared To Maximum Day Demand (plus 20% Safety Factor), With Proposed Project	2-11
2-5	Maximum Additional Nominal Capacity from Proposed Improvements at Existing Wells	2-12
3.2-1	Ambient Air Quality Standards	3.2-3
3.2-2	Background Air Quality Data (2008-2010)	3.2-6
3.2-3	Estimated Construction Emissions IWWWD Water Supply Improvement Project	3.2-9
3.6-1	Greenhouse Gas Emissions – Construction	3.6-3
3.6-2	GHG Emissions from the Project (metric tons) Business as Usual Scenario	3.6-4
3.8-1	Borehole Test Data U.S. Bureau of Reclamation 1933 Study	3.8-21
3.9-1	ALUC Noise Compatibility Criteria	3.9-6
3.9-2	FTA Construction Vibration Damage Criteria	3.9-7
3.9-3	Caltrans Vibration Damage Criteria.....	3.9-7
3.9-4	Estimated Construction Noise Levels Due to Proposed Project	3.9-13
3.9-5	Estimated Noise Increases – Proposed Project Construction	3.9-14
3.9-6	Estimated Construction Vibration Levels – Proposed Project	3.9-14
3.9-7	Estimated Operational Noise Levels – Proposed Project.....	3.9-15
3.9-8	Estimated Noise Increases – Proposed Project	3.9-15
4.2-1	Comparison Pumping Alternatives Evaluated in 2010 and 2011.....	4-3
4.3-1	IWWWD Domestic Water System Nominal Capacity of Well Pumping Plants Compared to Maximum Day Demand (plus 20% Safety Factor), with Alternative 1..	4-6
4.3-2	IWWWD Domestic Water System Nominal Capacity of Well Pumping Plants Compared to Maximum Day Demand (plus 20% Safety Factor), with Alternative 2.	4-10
4.3-3	IWWWD Domestic Water System Nominal Capacity of Well Pumping Plants Compared to Maximum Day Demand (plus 20% Safety Factor), with Alternative 3.	4-14
4.3-4	IWWWD Domestic Water System Nominal Capacity of Well Pumping Plants Compared to Maximum Day Demand (plus 20% Safety Factor), with Alternative 4.	4-17
4.4-1	Comparison of Alternatives with Proposed Project	4-20
5.1-1	Current and Projected Population, IWWWD Service Area.....	5-2

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK